## **ANNUAL USE OF CAPITAL SURVEY - 2009**

## NAME OF INSTITUTION

include Holding Company Where Applicable)	
Triad Bancorp, Inc	

Person to be contacted	James V Regna
regarding this report:	
CPP Funds Received:	42 700 000
	\$3,700,000
CPP Funds Repaid to	
Date:	\$0
Date Funded (first	
funding):	3/27/2009
Date Repaid¹:	

RSSD:	
(For Bank Holding Companies)	
Holding Company Docket Number:	
(For Thrift Holding Companies)	
FDIC Certificate Number:	
(For Depository Institutions)	58121
City:	
	Frontenac
State:	
	Missouri

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>If repayment was incremental, please enter the most recent repayment date.

American taxpayers are quite interested in knowing how banks have used the money that Treasury has invested under the Capital Purchase Program (CPP). To answer that question, Treasury is seeking responses that describe generally how the CPP investment has affected the operation of your business. We understand that once received, the cash associated with TARP funding is indistinguishable from other cash sources, unless the funds were segregated, and therefore it may not be feasible to identify precisely how the CPP investment was deployed or how many CPP dollars were allocated to each use. Nevertheless, we ask you to provide as much information as you can about how you have used the capital Treasury has provided, and how your uses of that capital have changed over time. Treasury will be pairing this survey with a summary of certain balance sheet and other financial data from your institution's regulatory filings, so to the extent you find it helpful to do so, please feel free to refer to your institution's quarterly call reports to illustrate your answers. This is your opportunity to speak to the taxpayers in your own words, which will be posted on our website.

What specific ways did your institution utilize CPP capital? Check all that apply and elaborate as appropriate, especially if the uses have shifted over time. Your responses should reflect actions taken over the past year (or for the portion of the year in which CPP funds were outstanding).

than otherwise would have occurred.	We were able to utilize CPP capital to increase lending by 5.7%. Loans grew from \$123MM to \$130MM from year end 2008 to 2009. This increase was one of the highest percentage increases in the St. Louis, Missouri market.

	The increase was primarily in commercial RE. We were able to do several owner occupied real estate loans to strong operating companies. In addition we provided funding to non owner occupied real estate on low loan to value cash flowing properties that larger banks would not renew.
Increase securities purchased (ABS, MBS, etc.).	
Make other investments	
 assets	In addition, we have been able to be aggressive setting aside reserves for potential problems. As of 2/28/10 our reserve is 1.56% of loans. The bank had a return on assets as 12/31/09 of .29%; however, the adjusted ROA was .60%. For the first two month of 2010 ROA is .29% but adjusted ROA is 1

	Reduce borrowings	
X	Increase charge-offs	Our charge-offs have increased from \$314M in 2008 to \$779M in 2009. The CPP Funds have allowed to maintain strong capital ratios while cleaning up the balance sheet. We have recently performed impairment analysis on all of our substandard credits. External auditors have approved RLL analysis.
	Purchase another financial institution or purchase assets from another financial institution	
	Held as non-leveraged increase to total capital	

	What actions were you able to avoid because of the capital infusion of CPP funds?  The primary benefit the CPP Funds have had on the bank is it has allowed us to maintain strong regulatory ratios and prevent the bank from sliding into a problem situation with regulators.		
I			
l	into a problem situation with regulators.		
I			
I			
I			
I			
I			
I			
I			
I			
I			
I			
I			
I			
I			
I			
I			
I			
I			
I			
I			
I			
I			
I			
I			
I			
I			
I			
I			
I			
I			
I			
I			
I			
I			
I			
I			

What actions were you able to take that you may not have taken without the capital infusion of CPP funds?

We have been able to continue to focus on lending. Many borrowers have ask "do you have money to lend", because they have heard from so many other banks that have indicated they are not lending.		
The CPP funds have provided a capital cushion. This has allowed the bank to maintain an acceptable classified capital to asset ratio, currently around 35%. In addition, we have been able to be aggressive setting aside reserves for potential problems. As of 2/28/10 our reserve is 1.56% of loans. The bank had a return on assets as 12/31/09 of .29%; however, the adjusted ROA was .60%. For the first two month of 2010 ROA is .29% but adjusted ROA is 1.05%. The bank has been aggressive in setting reserves aside. This approach has allowed us to move ORE and problem loans off the balance sheet in an effort to keep classified assets at a manageable level.		
The CPP Funds have allowed the bank to keep strong regulatory ratios. We have just completed our 5 year strategic plan. Even though we believe growth will be slow over the next two years, we are focused on recruiting and other opportunities to position the bank for growth. Without the CPP Funds we most likely would be worried exclusively about balance sheet issues etc. versus positioning the bank to move forward.		

Please describe any other actions that you were able to undertake with the capital infusion of CPP funds.		

According to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number. The valid OMB control number for this information collection is 1505-0222. The time required to complete this information collection is estimated to average 80 hours per response.